Received 21 June 2005

Accepted 4 July 2005

Online 16 July 2005

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Clive L. Oliver,* Gareth O. Lloyd and Elise J.C. de Vries

Department of Chemistry and Polymer Science, University of Stellenbosch, Private Bag X1, Matieland 7602, South Africa

Correspondence e-mail: oli@sun.ac.za

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 100 KMean $\sigma(\text{C-C}) = 0.002 \text{ Å}$ Disorder in main residue R factor = 0.054 wR factor = 0.146 Data-to-parameter ratio = 15.0

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

N,*N*'-Bis(pyridin-4-ylmethyl)succinamide– terephthalic acid (1/1)

Alternate molecules of N,N'-bis(pyridin-4-ylmethyl)succinamide and terephthalic acid, each of which is located about a centre of inversion, are linked by strong $O-H\cdots N$ hydrogen bonds to form strands in the title compound, $C_{16}H_{18}N_4O_2\cdot C_8H_6O_4$. In addition, strong $N-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bonds between the N,N'-bis(pyridin-4-ylmethyl)succinamide molecules of adjacent strands link the latter to form sheets.

Comment

N,N'-Bis-pyridin-4-ylmethyl-succinamide, (1), forms part of a series of compounds under investigation by us that possess biologically relevant functional groups, such as aromatic rings and amide groups (Atwood *et al.*, 1998; Barbour *et al.*, 2000). It has recently been used in the assembly of harmonic single and triple helices in a polymeric coordination complex (Lloyd *et al.*, 2005). Co-crystallization of terephthalic acid, (2), with (1) forms part of a structural study in which various acids were co-crystallized with the latter. The structure of (1) co-crystallized with (2) is described here.



Compounds (1) and (2) crystallize in a 1:1 ratio, (I), with each molecule located about a centre of inversion (Fig. 1). Hydrogen bonding plays an important role in the crystal



Figure 1

The molecular structures of (1) and (2). Only the atoms of the asymmetric unit are numbered. Unlabelled atoms are related to labelled atoms by (-x, -y + 1, -z + 1) in (1) and (-x + 1, -y + 1, -z) in (2).

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2005 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

assembly (Fig. 2). The termini of (1) and (2) are linked to each other via $O-H \cdots N^{ii}$ hydrogen bonds [symmetry code: (ii) -x-1, -y+2, -z, forming infinite one-dimensional strands; see Table 1 for parameters describing the hydrogenbonding scheme. Neigbouring strands are in turn linked by two centrosymmetrically related $N-H\cdots O^{i}$ hydrogen bonds [symmetry code: (i) x - 1, y, z] which involve molecule (1). These hydrogen bonds link the strands to form infinite twodimensional sheets. The sheets stack along the diagonal of the bc plane and the amide hydrogen-bonding pattern displayed is similar to that observed in β -sheets of protein molecules (Sasaki & Lieberman, 1996). Hydrogen-bonding patterns of this type have recently been used in the rational design of coordination polymers (Sarkar & Biradha, 2005).

The absence of significant π – π interactions [centroid $\cdot \cdot \cdot$ centroid distances are ~ 4.8 Å] is ascribed to the more favourable amide hydrogen bonding, which prevents close approach of aromatic rings in the structure.

Experimental

Compound (1) was synthesized in an analogous manner to N,N'-bispyridin-4-ylmethylglutarimide (de Vries et al., 2005), except that succinyl dichloride instead of glutaryl dichloride was reacted with 4-aminomethylpyridine. Equimolar amounts of compounds (1) and (2) were dissolved in an excess of dimethylformamide, after which crystallization proceeded by slow evaporation. Colourless plate-like crystals formed after several weeks.

Crystal data

| $C_{16}H_{18}N_4O_2 \cdot C_8H_6O_4$ |
|--------------------------------------|
| $M_r = 464.47$ |
| Triclinic, P1 |
| a = 4.8721 (13) Å |
| b = 9.550 (3) Å |
| c = 11.547 (3) Å |
| $\alpha = 96.582 \ (4)^{\circ}$ |
| $\beta = 95.944 \ (4)^{\circ}$ |
| $\gamma = 94.753 \ (4)^{\circ}$ |
| V = 528.4 (3) Å ³ |
| . , |

Z = 1 $D_x = 1.460 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo $K\alpha$ radiation Cell parameters from 2080 reflections $\theta=2.6{-}28.3^\circ$ $\mu = 0.11 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 100 (2) KPlates, colourless $0.30 \times 0.30 \times 0.10 \ \text{mm}$



Figure 2

The infinite two-dimensional sheets formed by the N-H···O and O-H...O hydrogen bonding. Dotted red lines indicate the hydrogenbonding interactions. For clarity, only H atoms involved in the hydrogen bonding are shown.

Data collection

| Bruker APEX CCD area-detector diffractometer | 2330 independent reflections 2156 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.028$ |
|---|---|
| Absorption correction: multi-scan | $\theta_{\rm max} = 28.2^{\circ}$ |
| (Blessing, 1995) | $h = -6 \rightarrow 6$ |
| $T_{\rm min} = 0.973, T_{\rm max} = 0.989$ | $k = -12 \rightarrow 11$ |
| 3480 measured reflections | $l = -15 \rightarrow 11$ |
| Refinement | |
| Refinement on F^2 | $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.0704P)^2]$ |
| $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.054$ | + 0.3562P] |
| $wR(F^2) = 0.146$ | where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ |
| S = 1.07 | $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$ |
| 2330 reflections | $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.49 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ |
| 155 parameters | $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.36 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ |

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

H-atom parameters constrained

| $D - H \cdots A$ | D-H | $H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$ |
|---------------------------|------|-------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|
| $N8B - H5 \cdots O9B^{i}$ | 0.88 | 2.01 | 2.875 (2) | 166 |
| O1A - H3 \cdots N4B^{ii} | 0.84 | 1.82 | 2.654 (2) | 175 |

Symmetry codes: (i) x - 1, y, z; (ii) -x - 1, -y + 2, -z.

All aromatic and methylene H atoms were positioned using the riding-model approximation, with C-H = 0.95 and 0.99 Å, respectively, and with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$. The amide H atom was placed in an idealized trigonal-planar position, N-H = 0.88 Å, based on its initial peak position in the difference Fourier map, and $U_{iso}(H) =$ $1.2U_{eq}(N)$. The hydroxyl H atom was positioned using a hydrogenbond searching model, with O-H = 0.82 Å and $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(O)$. Atom C10 of molecule (1) is disordered over two positions, with the major disordered component having a site-occupancy factor of 0.86 (1), as determined from the refinement.

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 2001); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2002); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: X-SEED (Barbour, 2001); software used to prepare material for publication: X-SEED (Atwood & Barbour, 2003).

The authors thank the National Research Foundation of South Africa for financial assistance.

References

- Atwood, J. L. & Barbour, L. J. (2003). Cryst. Growth Des. 3, 3-8.
- Atwood, J. L., Barbour, L. J. & Orr, G. W. (1998). Nature (London), 393, 671-672.
- Barbour, L. J. (2001). J. Supramol. Chem. 1, 189-191.
- Barbour, L. J., Orr, G. W. & Atwood, J. L. (2000). Chem. Commun. pp. 859-860. Blessing, R. H. (1995). Acta Cryst. A51, 33-38.
- Bruker (2001). SMART. Version 5.625. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Bruker (2002). SAINT. Version 6.36a. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Lloyd, G. O., Atwood, J. L. & Barbour, L. J. (2005). Chem. Commun. pp. 1845-1845.
- Sarkar, M. & Biradha, K. (2005). Chem. Commun. pp. 2229-2231.
- Sasaki, T. & Lieberman, M. (1996). Comprehensive Supramolecular Chemistry, edited by J. L. Atwood, J. E. D. Davies, D. D. MacNicol & F. Vögtle, Vol, 4, pp. 193-242. Oxford: Elsevier Science, Oxford.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97, SHELXL97 and SADABS (Version 2.05). University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Vries, E. J. C. de, Oliver, C. L. & Lloyd, G. O. (2005). Acta Cryst. E61, 01577-01578